Climate Change Projections for Individual Tree Species

South Central Great Lakes (Ecological Section 222J)



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. Researchers and managers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the Northwoods region (Handler et al. 2014: doi.org/10.2737/NRS-GTR-129). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate trends, and a range of projected future climates. It also describes many potential climate change impacts to forests and summarizes key vulnerabilities for major forest ecosystems. This handout summarizes data from the U.S. Forest

Service's Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi:org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The Tree Atlas provides information to interpret tree species changes:

- **SUITABLE HABITAT** calculated based on 45 variables that explain where conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- ADAPTABILITY based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- CAPABILITY a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (inventory data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species. Capability rating may not appropriately reflect the outlook for species with emerging severe forest health issues, such as ash species affected by emerald ash borer. See the table to the right for ratings.
- MIGRATION POTENTIAL MODEL when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management.

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and

CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY TABLE.

Capability is a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change. Species are organized into poor, fair, good, and mixed capability ratings. Species with new suitable habitat or low model reliability are excluded from this table. See the Tree Species Projections table legend on the following page for more information on ratings.

NOTE: Capability rating may not reflect severe forest health issues (e.g., emerald ash borer).

, 3	•						
POOR CAPABILITY							
Balsam poplar	Paper birch						
Bigtooth aspen	Pignut hickory						
Black ash	Quaking aspen						
Chinkapin oak	Red pine						
Eastern hemlock	Scarlet oak						
Eastern white pine	Shingle oak						
Flowering dogwood	Tamarack (native)						
Jack pine	White spruce						
Northern pin oak	Yellow birch						
Northern white-cedar							
FAIR CAPABILITY							
Black cherry	White ash						
Blackgum	Yellow-poplar						
Bur oak							
GOOD CAPABILITY							
Black oak	Osage-orange						
Eastern redcedar	Red maple						
Hackberry	Sugar maple						
Northern red oak	White oak						
MIXED CAPABILITY							
American basswood	Loblolly pine						
American beech	Mockernut hickory						
American elm	Shagbark hickory						

others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

CREDIT: This handout summarizes the full model results for the South Central Great Lakes (Ecological Section 222J). Data provided by the USDA Forest Service (M.P. Peters, A.M. Prasad, S.N. Matthews, & L.R. Iverson) as part of the Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Models and variables are described in Iverson et al. 2019 and Peters et al. 2019 (available at <u>fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs</u>). More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at <u>forestadaptation.org/northwoods</u>.







Tree Species Projections Table

Information presented in the table is from the Climate Change Tree Atlas regional summaries, more details at fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries.

ADAPTABILITY: Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + **HIGH** Species may perform better than modeled
- · MEDIUM
- LOW Species may perform worse than modeled

HABITAT CHANGE: Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ INCREASE Projected increase of >20% by 2100
 - 100
 - **DECREASE** Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- NO CHANGE Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ★ NEW HABITAT Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

ABUNDANCE: Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + ABUNDANT
- · common
- RARE

CAPABILITY: An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class, adaptability, and abundance within this region. Capability may not reflect severe forest health issues.

- GOOD Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- FAIR Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability
- ▼ POOR Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

			LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)					LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)	
SPECIES	HABITAT HABITAT ADAPT ABUN CHANGE CAPABILITY CHANGE CAPABILITY		SPECIES	ADAPT ABU		HABITAT N CHANGE CAPABILITY		HABITAT Y CHANGE CAPABILITY					
American basswood				Δ	•	0	Northern pin oak	+	_	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	_	∇
American beech	•		•	0		∇	Northern red oak	+	•	•	Δ	•	Δ
American elm			•	0	<u> </u>	Δ	Northern white-cedar		_	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
American hornbeam*	•	_	_	∇		∇	Ohio buckeye*		_		$\overline{\nabla}$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Balsam poplar	•	_	_	∇	_	∇	Osage-orange	+	_		Δ	_	Δ
Bigtooth aspen	•		_	∇	_	∇	Paper birch		_	_	∇	_	∇
Bitternut hickory*	+		•	Δ		Δ	Pawpaw*	•	_		∇	_	∇
Black ash	_	_	_	∇	_	∇	Pignut hickory		_	•	∇	•	∇
Black cherry	_	+	_	0	_	0	Pin cherry*	•	_	_	∇	_	∇
Black hickory	•		*		*		Pin oak*	_	_	•	∇	•	∇
Black locust*	•	_	•	∇	<u> </u>	0	Post oak	+		*		*	
Black maple*	+	_	_	∇	_	∇	Quaking aspen	•	•	_	∇	_	∇
Black oak	•		<u> </u>	Δ	_	Δ	Red maple	+	+	_	Δ	_	Δ
Black walnut*	•		_	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ	Red pine	_	•	_	∇	_	∇
Black willow*	_		_	∇	•	lacksquare	Sassafras*	•	•	<u> </u>	Δ	_	∇
Blackgum	+	_	•	0	•	0	Scarlet oak		_		∇	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Blackjack oak	+		*		*		Shagbark hickory	•		<u> </u>	Δ	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Boxelder*	+	_	_	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ	Shingle oak	•	_	_	∇	_	∇
Bur oak	+	_	•	0	•	0	Shortleaf pine	•		*		*	
Cedar elm	_		*		*		Shumard oak*	+	_	•	0	•	0
Chinkapin oak	•	_	•	∇	•	∇	Silver maple*	+	•	_	Δ	_	Δ
Common persimmon*	+		*		*		Slippery elm*	•	•	_	∇	_	∇
Eastern cottonwood*	•	•	A	Δ		Δ	Sugar maple	+	•	A	Δ	•	Δ
Eastern hemlock	_	_	_	∇	_	∇	Sugarberry	•		*		*	
Eastern redbud*	•		*		*		Swamp white oak*	•	_	•	∇	•	∇
Eastern redcedar	•	_		Δ		Δ	Sweetgum	•		*		*	
Eastern white pine	_	•	_	∇	•	∇	Sycamore*	•	_		Δ	A	Δ
Flowering dogwood	•	_	•	∇	_	∇	Tamarack (native)	_	_	_	∇	_	∇
Green ash*	•	•	•	0	•	0	Virginia pine	•		*		*	
Hackberry	+	_		Δ		Δ	White ash	_	•	A	0	_	0
Honeylocust*	+	_	•	0	•	0	White oak	+	•		Δ	•	Δ
Ironwood*	+	_	_	∇	_	∇	White spruce	•	_	_	∇	_	∇
Jack pine	+	_	_	∇	_	∇	Winged elm	•		*		*	
Loblolly pine	•	_	•	∇	_	Δ	Yellow birch	•	_	_	∇	_	∇
Mockernut hickory	+	_	•	0	A	Δ	Yellow-poplar	+	_	•	0	•	0