

Climate Change Projections for Individual Tree Species

Missouri-Nishnabotna Watershed in Iowa



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. The National Climate Assessment describes how a changing climate can increase the vulnerability of forests in the Midwest (Wilson et al. 2023: doi.org/10.7930/NCA5.2023.CH24). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate trends, and a range of projected future climates. This handout summarizes data from the U.S. Forest Service's Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Two climate

scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The Tree Atlas provides information to interpret tree species changes:

- **SUITABLE HABITAT** - calculated based on 45 variables that explain where conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- **ADAPTABILITY** - based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- **CAPABILITY** - a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (inventory data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species. Capability rating may not appropriately reflect the outlook for species with emerging severe forest health issues, such as ash species affected by emerald ash borer. See the table to the right for ratings.
- **MIGRATION POTENTIAL MODEL** - when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management.

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY TABLE.

Capability is a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change. Species are organized into poor, fair, good, and mixed capability ratings. Species with new suitable habitat or low model reliability are excluded from this table. See the Tree Species Projections table legend on the following page for more information on ratings.

NOTE: Capability rating may not reflect severe forest health issues (e.g., emerald ash borer).

POOR CAPABILITY

American basswood	Red pine
Black cherry	Shagbark hickory
Chinkapin oak	Shingle oak
Flowering dogwood	White ash
Northern pin oak	

FAIR CAPABILITY

American elm	Eastern redcedar
Black oak	White oak

GOOD CAPABILITY

Blackjack oak	Post oak
Hackberry	Sugarberry
Osage-orange	

MIXED CAPABILITY

Bur oak	Sugar maple
Northern red oak	

CREDIT: This handout summarizes the full model results for the Missouri-Nishnabotna Watershed in Iowa. Data provided by the USDA Forest Service (M.P. Peters, A.M. Prasad, S.N. Matthews, & L.R. Iverson) as part of the Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Models and variables are described in Iverson et al. 2019 and Peters et al. 2019 (available at fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs). More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at forestadaptation.org/northwoods.



This handout is a product of the USDA Northern Forests Climate Hub and the Northern Institute of Applied Climate Science, a collaborative, multi-institutional partnership led by the USDA Forest Service. Funding was provided by the USDA Forest Service.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.



Tree Species Projections Table

Information presented in the table is from the Climate Change Tree Atlas regional summaries, more details at fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries.

ADAPTABILITY: Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + **HIGH** Species may perform better than modeled
- **MEDIUM**
- **LOW** Species may perform worse than modeled

HABITAT CHANGE: Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ **INCREASE** Projected increase of >20% by 2100
- **NO CHANGE** Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ▼ **DECREASE** Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- ★ **NEW HABITAT** Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

ABUNDANCE: Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + **ABUNDANT**
- **COMMON**
- **RARE**

CAPABILITY: An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class, adaptability, and abundance within this region. Capability may not reflect severe forest health issues.

- ▲ **GOOD** Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- **FAIR** Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability
- ▼ **POOR** Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

SPECIES	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)				HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)			
	ADAPT	ABUN	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY		
American basswood	•	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
American elm	•	•	●	○	●	○		
Ashe juniper	•	–	★		★			
Bitternut hickory*	+	–	●	○	●	○		
Black cherry	–	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Black hickory	•	–	★		★			
Black locust*	•	–	●	▼	●	▼		
Black oak	•	–	▲	○	▲	○		
Black walnut*	•	•	●	○	●	○		
Black willow*	–	–	●	▼	▲	▼		
Blackjack oak	+	–	▲	▲	●	▲		
Boxelder*	+	–	▼	▼	●	○		
Bur oak	+	–	▲	▲	●	○		
Cedar elm	–	–	★		★			
Chinkapin oak	•	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Common persimmon*	+	–	▲	▲	▲	▲		
Eastern cottonwood*	•	–	●	▼	●	▼		
Eastern redbud*	•	–	●	▼	●	▼		
Eastern redcedar	•	–	▲	○	▲	○		
Flowering dogwood	•	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Green ash*	•	–	▲	▲	▲	▲		
Hackberry	+	•	●	▲	●	▲		
Honeylocust*	+	•	▼	○	▼	○		
Ironwood*	+	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Mockernut hickory†	+	–	★		★			

SPECIES	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)				HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)			
	ADAPT	ABUN	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY		
Northern pin oak	+	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Northern red oak	+	–	●	○	▼	○		
Ohio buckeye	•	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Osage-orange	+	–	▲	▲	▲	▲		
Pecan*	–	–	★		★			
Pignut hickory	•	–	★		★			
Pin oak*	–	–	▼	▼	●	○		
Post oak	+	–	▲	▲	▲	▲		
Red mulberry*	•	–	●	▼	●	▼		
Red pine	–	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Sassafras*	•	–	★		★			
Serviceberry*	•	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Shagbark hickory	•	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Shingle oak	•	–	●	▼	▼	▼		
Shumard oak*	+	–	★		★			
Silver maple*	+	–	●	○	●	○		
Slippery elm*	•	–	●	▼	▲	○		
Sugar maple	+	–	●	○	▲	▲		
Sugarberry	•	–	★		★			
Swamp white oak*	•	–	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Sycamore*	•	–	●	▼	●	▼		
Water oak	+	–	★		★			
White ash	–	–	●	▼	●	▼		
White oak	+	–	●	○	●	○		
Winged elm	•	–	★		★			

*Species with low model reliability based on five statistical metrics of the habitat models that affect change class.

†Species is likely present but not currently included in the FIA database.